No.F.13 (48)-PD/SC/14 GOVERNMENT OF TRIPURA HOME DEPARTMENT

Dated, Agartala, the 18 August, 2014

he Director General of Police. Tripura, Agartala.

Subject: -Advisory on measures to be taken by the States/UTs to curb the misuse of section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code - regarding.

Sir

I am directed to send here with a copy of the Advisory vide No.3/5/2008-Judi. Celli, dated 10th July, 2014 as received from the Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India on the subject mentioned above and to request you to kindly take necessary action in this regard.

Enclo: As stated.

opy to:-

Yours faithfully,

(Arup Deb

Under Secretary to the

Government of Tripura

Shri J.P. Agrwal, Joint Secretary (Judicial), Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, 4th Floor, C Wing, NDCC-II Building, Jai Singh Road, New Delhi-110001 for kind information. This has a reference of his letter No.3/5/2008-Judi. Cell, dated 10th July. 2014.

M-coinfull 2

No. 3/5/2008-Judl.Cell Government of India/Bharat Sarkar Ministry of Home Affairs/ Grib Mantralaya

4th Floor, C Wing, NDCC-H Building

New Delhi -110 001. Dated: July 10, 2014

Jai Singh Road

To

Secretaries of State Government/Union Territories Administrations. (As per listed attached)

Subject: Advisory on measures to be taken by the States/UTs to curb the misuse of section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code-regarding.

Sir/ Madam.

The Government has, from time to time, issued advisories on measures to be taken by the States/UTs to curb the misuse of section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code.

On 02.07.2014 the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of Arnesh Kumar Vs State of Bihar and Anr. (copy enclosed), observed that there is a phenomenal increase in matrimonial disputes in recent years and the fact that section 498-A is a cognizable and non bailable offence has lent it a dubious place of pride amongst the provisions that are used as weapons rather than shields by disgrantled wives. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed the following:

i)All the State Governments to instruct their police officers not to automatically arrest a person, when a case under Section 498-A of the IPC is registered but to satisfy themselves about the necessity for arrest under the parameters laid down flowing from Section 41, Cr.PC;

ii) All police officers be provided with a check list containing specified subclauses under Section 41(1)(bWii):

iii) The police officer shall forward the check list duly filled up and furnish the materials which necessitated the forwarding/producing the accused before the Magistrate for further detention:

iv)The Magistrate, while authorising detention of the accused, shall peruse the report furnished by the police officer along the terms aforesaid and only after recording its satisfaction, the Magistrate will authorise detention;

contd.2/-

-v)The decision not to arrest an accused, be forwarded to the Magistrate within two weeks from the date of the institution of the case with a copy to the Magistrate which may be extended by the Superintendent of Police of the district for the reasons to be recorded in writing;

vi)Notice of appearance in terms of Section 41A of Cr.PC be served on the accused within two weeks from the date of institution of the case, which may be extended by the Superintendent of Police of the District for the reasons to be recorded in writing;

vii)Failure to comply with the directions aforesaid shall, apart from rendering the police officers concerned liable for departmental action, also make the officers liable to be punished for contempt of court to be instituted before the High Court having territorial jurisdiction;

viii) The Judicial Magistrate concerned shall be liable for departmental action by the appropriate High Court if he authorizes detention without recording the reasons, as aforesaid.

- 3. The Hon'ble Court has directed that a copy of the judgment should be forwarded to the Chief Secretaries as also the Directors General of Police of all the State Governments and the Union Territories and the Registrar General of all the High Courts for onward transmission and ensuring its compliance.
- 4. All the State Governments/UT Administrations are requested to take effective measures to scrupulously enforce the directions/order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court as also the advisories issued by the Government of India from time to time.

The receipt of this letter may kindly be acknowledged:

Enclosed as above

Yours faithfully,

10(7120

(J.P. Agrawal) Joint Secretary (Fudicial)

Ph No. 23438113

Check list for arrest:--

A

(In regard to arrest of accused person who has allegedly committed an offence punishable under section 498-A of IPC, Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act and such cases where offence is punishable with imprisonment for a term which may be less than seven years or which may extend to seven years; whether with or without fine as per the parameters laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Criminal Appeal No. 1277 of 2014, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar & another, flowing from Section 41 (1) (b) (ii) of Cr. P.C.)

02.0		33
0	CJM/SDJM	29
Jan.	Civialion	
	Tripora.	
§ 3	P.S. Case Reference:-	
	en of person arrested :	[45] [45] [45] [45] [45] [45] [45] [45]
5/O	(C)	
S.		
	1-1111-11111111111	
AL.	I am satisfied that the arrest of the accused is necessary	Reasons and muserials which necessitated the arrest:-
2	to provent such person from committing	
	any further offence, or	
	für project investigation of the offence, or	44.77
	"	
	to prevent him/her from causing the	
	evidence of offence to disappear or	
	timpering with such evidence in any manner, or	¥8
	WE 100.00	
		m e
8	to prevent him/her from making any inducement, threat or promise to any	
	person apprainted with the facts of the	į į
	case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to	
	the Police Officer, or	
	as unless such person is arrested, his	
	presence in the court whenever required	35
		ı
	cannot be casured, and the police officer shall record while making such	